Central University of Himachal Pradesh



MINUTES

6th Meeting of the Executive Council 10th April, 2012 at 2:00 PM

Venue: Committee Room No. II, India International Centre Annexe, Max Mueller Marg, New Delhi – 110003.

Central University of Himachal Pradesh

Post Box – 21, Dharamshala, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh – 176215 Phone No. 01892-229330, 229574, Fax No. 01892-229331

MINUTES OF 6TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL HELD ON 10TH APRIL, 2012 AT 02:00 PM

VENUE: COMMITTEE ROOM NO. - II, INDIA INTERNATIONAL CENTRE ANNEXE, MAX MUELLER MARG, NEW DELHI – 110 003.

6th Meeting of the Executive Council of the Central University of Himachal Pradesh was held on 10th April, 201 at 2:00 PM in the Committee Room No.-II, Indian International Centre Annexe, Max Mueller Marg, New Delhi -110 003. **List of the Members present in the meeting is attached as Appendix-A.**

The Vice-Chancellor extended warm welcome and thanked all the members for sparing their valuable time for this important meeting. He acknowledged their contribution in the development of the University and expressed hope that the University shall continue to receive their guidance, support and cooperation as the same is critical at this formative stage. He informed that the meeting was fixed at Delhi because of the uncertainty in flights schedule to Dharamshala. The Vice-Chancellor gave a brief account of the developmental, academic and administrative activities of the University. He also informed that hopefully the University will be able to get land clearance from Ministry of Environment and Forest within another couple of months and in the meantime, the process of finalization of the EOI and RFP is at its final stage as per our vision document so as to save time in this whole process. He also apprised the Executive Council about the recent selections of teaching staff and informed that 15 Professors and Associate Professors have joined the University so far out of 23 selected during the month of February, 2012 while some of them has requested for extension in joining time which is also being acceded to. He shared his concern about difficulty in attracting quality faculty due to confusion about the portability of pension, the issue which has been under correspondence with UGC/MHRD.

Thereafter the Agenda items were taken up for discussion.

Item No: 6.1 Confirmation of the minutes of the 5th meeting of the Executive Council held on 27.02.2012.

The observation made by Prof. D.T. Khathing vide letter No. CUJ/VC/CUHP/2012/34/C dated 22.03.2012 regarding mentioning of "Annual Accounts" instead of "Annual Report" at page No. 22 of Annexure 5.2 vide item No.

4.36 in ATR under Action Taken column of 4th Executive Council meeting was noted and the correction was incorporated.

The Executive Council confirmed the Minutes of the 5th Executive Council Meeting held on 27th February, 2012

Item No: 6.2 To place before the Executive Council the Report about the action taken on the decisions of its 5th meeting held on 27.02.2012.

The report about the action taken on the decisions of 5th Meeting of the Executive Council held on 27.02.2012, is placed as **ANNEXURE – 6.2 A**.

The Executive Council noted the Action Taken Report (ATR) on the decision taken in the 5th Meeting of the Executive Council held on 27.02.2012 and approved the same.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION AND DECISION:

Item No: 6.3 To place before the Executive Council the proposal regarding Grant of additional / advance increments to Professor taken on Deputation.

The University has held regular Selection Committee for the Post of Professors and Associate Professors. As against the 18 posts of Professors and 36 posts of Associate Professors, the Selection Committee could recommend only 7 persons for the post of Professors and 16 persons for the post of the Associate Professors. The recommendations of the Selection Committees were approved by the Executive Council in its 5th Meeting held on 27th February, 2012. Accordingly the appointment letters have been issued to the selected candidates. These candidates are in the process of joining.

Amongst the 7 persons selected for the post of the Professors, 04 are those who had earlier been taken by the University on deputation basis. Their details are as under: -

Sr. No	Name of Professor	Parent University	Discipline	Experience as Professor in Parent University at the time of joining CUHP	Date of Joining in the Central University on deputation
1	Prof. Yoginder Verma	Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla	Management	15 years and 5 months	22.5.2010
2	Prof. Arvind Agrawal	University of Rajasthan, Jaipur	Social Work	8 years and 3 months	1.6.2010

3	Prof. Hans Raj Sharma	CSK Himachal Pradesh Agricultural University, Palampur	Economics	10 years and 5 months	4.3.2011
4	Prof. I. V. Malhan	University of Jammu, Jammu	Library Science	13 years and 8 months	9.4.2011

The Selection Committee in its recommendation had also recommended two advance increments to Prof. Yoginder Verma and three advance increments to Prof. I.V. Malhan. Considering the fact that all the above four Professors worked in different capacities shouldering arduous responsibilities of teaching, research and administration since they joined the University. Needless to say that due to their untiring efforts, enthusiasm and commitment, the University has been in a position to start and successfully run 14 PG and 13 RD programmes. In addition to their commendable contribution to academic architecture and developing intellectual culture in the University, their contribution in the development of basic amenities required for effective functioning is of immense value which need to be recognized and rewarded. It is, therefore, proposed that each one of them should be given three advance increments in recognition of their contribution in the building up of this new university and further encouraging them to take up the challenge of nurturing the University to achieve academic excellence.

In addition to above the Selection Committee has recommended Prof. Ramesh Chand Verma for appointment as Professor of Physical and Material Sciences. Prof. Verma is willing to join the post but has requested for 3 advance increments. He has 15 years 7 months of experience in Professor in the Punjabi University Patiala. It is, therefore proposed that three advance increments be approved for Prof.Ramesh Chand Verma as well.

It may be noted that **the Executive Council** has the authority to grant additional/advance increments to Associate Professors and Professors as per the UGC Regulations on minimum qualifications for appointment of Teachers and other academic staff in universities and colleges and Measures for the maintenance of standards in higher education, 2010 (Page 79). **The relevant extract is reproduced below:**

"(xvii) Discretionary award of advance increments for those who enter the profession as Associate Professors or Professors with higher merit, high number of research publications and experience at the appropriate level, shall be within the competence of the appropriate authority of the concerned University or recruiting institution while negotiating with individual candidates in the context of the merits of each case, taking into account the pay structure of other teachers in the faculty and other specific factors." The Executive Council appreciated the concerns but felt that the Vice-Chancellor may constitute a committee of two eminent person to consider the proposal and make recommendations to the Executive Council.

Item No: 6.4 To place before the Executive Council the recommendations of the Academic Council made in its 4th meeting held on 09.04.2012.

The meeting of the Academic Council is scheduled to be held on 09.04.2012 at 12:00 Noon at Seminar Hall – I, India International Centre, 40, Max Mueller Marg, New Delhi. The recommendations made by the Academic Council shall be placed before the Executive Council for its approval on the spot.

The Minutes of the 4th Meeting of the Academic Council was placed before the Executive Council. The Executive Council considered the recommendations made by Academic Council and approved the same as follows:

To place before the Academic Council the proposal regarding amendment in clause 68 and clause 69 of Ordinance No. 30.

The Clause 68 and Clause 69 states as under:

Clause 68:

A student shall be required to participate in all components of the Comprehensive Continuous Internal Assessment, as specified in the Detailed Course Outline, failing which he/she shall be deemed to have NOT COMPLETED the course and shall be awarded the "I" Grade. Provided further that the "I" Grade shall also be awarded to a candidate in a course in which he/she has been declared ineligible to appear in the End-Semester Examination of a course on account not meeting the minimum prescribed attendance requirements for the course.

Clause 69:

A student who has been awarded "I" Grade shall be required to re-register for the same course, when it is offered in the next semester(s), or shall have to register for another course under the overall framework of credit accumulation under the Comprehensive Choice Based Credit System to be able to successfully complete the minimum specified credit requirements for completion of the Programme of Studies.

An amendment is sought in Clause 68 and Clause 69 of Ordinance No. 30. According to these Clauses a student who does not participate in any of the components of Comprehensive Continuous Internal Assessment i.e. internal assessment, mid- term examination and end semester examination shall be awarded the "I" Grade and further as per clause 69, a student who has been awarded "I" Grade shall be required to re-register for the same course, when it is offered in the next semester(s). It is observed that in case a student who participated in the internal Assessment and Mid-Term Examination but could not appear in End Semester Examination due to genuine reasons beyond his/her control, he/she would loose six months as he/she will have to re-register for the course.

To remove this difficulty, it is proposed that in Clause 68, the words "in all components of comprehensive continuous Internal Assessment" be replaced by the words "in Internal Assessment and Mid-Term Examination".

The Executive Council considered the recommendation of the Academic Council and approved the above amendments.

To place before the Academic Council the proposal regarding amendment in clause 1 of Ordinance No. 2 relating to admission of students to the University.

Based on the experiences of the past two years of admission, and also keeping in view the requirements that only serious candidates take admission in different programmes of studies, it is proposed that sub-clause k) may be added to Clause 1 of Ordinance No. 2 as under:

The following shall not be eligible for admission in the University:

- (a) A person who has been suspended, rusticated, debarred, expelled or removed from the role of the University by a competent authority of the University.
- (b) A person who at any time, was admitted to an PhD programme in this University or has completed PhD degree either from this University or from any other University shall not be eligible to apply for the same or any other UG/PG/MPhil/PhD programme of this University.
- (c) A person who at any time, was admitted to an MPhil Programme in this University or has completed an MPhil Degree either from this University or from any other University shall not be eligible to apply for the same or any other UG/PG/MPhil Programme of this University.
- (d) A person who at any time, was admitted to a PG Programme in this University or has completed PG Degree either from this University or from any other University shall not be eligible to apply for the same or any other UG/PG Programme of this University.

(e) A person who at any time, was admitted to a UG Programme in this University or has completed UG Degree either from this University or from any other University shall not be eligible to apply for the same or any other UG Programme of this University.

The Academic Council considered and approved the proposal. However, in case of (d) and (e) clauses, it was suggested that the University may provide for a scope in admission for the exceptionally bright and brilliant students in rare circumstances. Hence, clause (f), as under was inserted:

(f) "Provided that permission to pursue second PG/UG programme may be granted to an exceptionally bright and brilliant person with consistently excellent academic record by the Vice-Chancellor on the recommendation of the Admission Committee and Admission Review Committee."

The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of the Academic Council.

Item No.4.7:

To place before the Academic Council the XII Five Year Plan Proposals (2012-17) of the University for consideration and recommendation to the Executive Council.

The XII Five Year Plan Proposals (2012-17) have been prepared on the proforma prescribed by the University Grants Commission and also keeping in view the recommendations contained in the 12th FYP document entitled, "Inclusive and Qualitative Expansion of Higher Education" circulated vide letter dated 5.1.2012 of the UGC Chairman.

The University established 11 Schools and started academic programmes in 18 disciplines with 140 teaching and 52 non-teaching (administrative, academic and technical) staff positions. During the XII Plan it proposes to start 20 new academic programmes and accordingly has proposal for 140 teaching and 290 other administrative/academic, library, IT & Technical, Engineering, and physical education staff.

The University has XII Five Year Plan (2012-2017) proposal for Rs.651.50 crores for different activities/programmes, as given below:

Sr.No.	Head/Component	Funds required	Remarks
		during XII Plan	
		(Rs. In crores)	
1.	Capital		

	GRAND TOTAL(1+2+3)	651.50	
	Total (3)	175.65	
	and technical staff	, 5.00	the posts approved during XII Plan
	Non-teaching, administrative	73.80	For the posts approved during XI Plan and
	Teaching	101.85	the posts proposed during XII Plan
J.	Teaching	101.85	For the posts approved during XI Plan and
3.	Salary expenses	35.55	
	Total (2)	63.35	
	Merged Schemes	22.50	-
			during XII Plan
	Administrative Expenses		Plan and the progammes proposed
	Establishment and	40.85	For the programmes approved during XI
2.	General Expenses		
	Total (1)	412.50	
	Equipment	43.00	
	ICT Infrastructure for Library	2.50	
			two campuses
			drainage, rainwater harvesting, etc. at
			internal roads, water, power, sewerage,
			development and levelling of land,
	Campus Development	25.00	Boundary walls, fencing, gates,
			staff residences at two campuses
			buildings, students hostels, guest houses,
			buildings, Library & IRC, Residential
	Construction of buildings	342.00	Administrative, academic/ School

The University Grants Commission has desired to send the XII FYP proposals to them by 10th April, 2012 duly considered and approved by the University authorities. The XII FYP proposal is also being placed before the Academic Council for consideration and recommending the same to the Executive Council.

The Academic Council considered the 12th Five Year Plan (2012-17) proposals and further recommended the same to the Executive Council for approval. The Academic Council Members highly appreciated the meticulously prepared the 12th FY Plan document and placed on record its appreciation for the efforts of the Vice-Chancellor and his team.

The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendations of the Academic Council.

Item No: 6.5 To place before the Executive Council the recommendations of the Finance Committee made in its 5th meeting held on 10.04.2012.

The meeting of the Finance Committee is scheduled to be held on 10.04.2012 at 12:00 Noon at Committee Room-II, India International Centre Annexe, Max

Mueller Marg, New Delhi. The recommendations made by the Finance Committee shall be placed before the Executive Council for its approval on the spot.

The Minutes of the 5th Meeting of the Finance Committee was placed before the Executive Council. The Executive Council considered the recommendations made by Finance Committee and approved the same.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

(DR. K.D. LAKHANPAL) Registrar

Countersigned

(PROF. FURQAN QAMAR) Vice-Chancellor - Chairman

APPENDIX-A

Central University of Himachal Pradesh

Post Box – 21, Dharamshala, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh – 176215 Phone No. 01892-229330, 229574, Fax No. 01892-229331

6th Meeting of the Executive Council

Committee Room No.II, India International Centre Annexe, Max Mueller Marg, New Delhi – 110 003.

Sl. No.	Members
1.	Prof. Furqan Qamar
	Vice Chancellor,
	Central University of Himachal Pradesh
2.	Sh. K. Sanjay Murthy
	Secretary,
	Higher Education, Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002.
3.	Prof. D.T. Khathing
	Vice-Chancellor,
	Central University of Jharkhand,
	CTI Campus, Brambe, Lohar Daga Road, Ranchi-835 205.
4.	Prof. D.S. Rathore
	Former Vice-Chancellor,
	Himachal Pradesh Krishi Viswavidyalaya,
	15/15 Indira Nagar, Lucknow-226016
5.	Prof. S.P. Singh
	Former Vice-Chancellor,
	HNB Garhwal University, Advisor, Planning Commission, Institute of
	Technology & Science, SGRR Education Mission,
	Patel Nagar, Dehradun-248001
6.	Dr. (Mrs.) Renu Batra
	Joint Secretary,
	University Grants Commission, New Delhi – 110 002 (Special Invitee)
7.	Sh. B.R. Dhiman
	Finance Officer,
	Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala (Special Invitee)
8.	Dr. K.D. Lakhanpal
	Registrar,
	Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala (Secretary)

ANNEXURE - 5.2 A

Action Taken Report (ATR) on the decisions of the 4th Meeting of the Executive Council held on 10.12.2011.

ITEM NO.	ITEM	DECISION TAKEN	ACTION TAKEN
Item 4.1	Confirmation of the minutes of the 3 rd meeting of the Executive Council held on 11.06.2011	The Executive Council confirmed the minutes of its 3 rd meeting held on 11.06.2011.	No action required.
Item 4.2	To place before the Executive Council the Report about the action taken on the decisions of its 3 rd meeting held on 11.06.2011.	The Executive Council noted the Action Taken Report (ATR) on the decision taken in the 3 rd meeting of Executive Council held on 11.06.2011 and expressed its appreciation for the efforts and achievements of the University particularly regarding the progress in submission of proposal for FCA clearance and induction of more numbers of programmes of studies	No action required.
Item 4.3	To report to the Executive Council Progress with regard to Infrastructure Development and Academic Activities of the University up to 31st October 2011 The progress of the University with regard to infrastructure development and academic activities for the period up to 31st March 2011 is being placed in the Annual Report 2010-11 of the University. Besides, the Vice Chancellor shall make a brief presentation detailing the progress made up to 31st October 2011, encompassing such aspects as (1) Transfer of Land; (2) Campus Development; (3) Physical facilities; (4) Academic Programmes; (5) Curriculum Development; (6) Admission	The Vice Chancellor in his presentation apprised the Academic Council about the progress made by the University with regard to the above aspects of development. The Academic Council observed that the University has made remarkable progress during a short period of time and that the efforts and accomplishments of the University deserves appreciation. In particular, the Academic Council was appreciative of the innovative curricular framework and the cautious and balanced approach to development adopted by the University. The fact that that the University has been receiving large number of applications	No action required.

and enrolment; (7) Selection of faculty; (8) Teaching-learning activities; (9) Examination & Evaluation; and (10) Other curricular and co-curricular activities.

for admission in the limited number of seats despite infrastructural constraints is heartening and the fact that the University has received large number of applications for faculty positions may enable it to select the best available intellectual resources. By way guidance, the Academic Council made the following suggestions:

- (a) The University now needs consolidate the Programmes of Studies that has already it launched and new programmes of the should studies be introduced with due regard to the availability physical facilities, infrastructure and intellectual resources:
- (b) The academic architecture and curricular framework of the University have indeed the made Programmes of the Studies inter-disciplinary but the University must continue to endeavour to become a comprehensive university and should ensure that compartmentalisation and fragmentation knowledge are carefully discouraged.
- (c) Considering the fact that strong and effective incentive schemes are necessary to attract and retain best faculty, the University needs to work out strategies and schemes of providing

- adequate set-up grants, furnished housing facilities, additional increments, higher pay grades, salaries etc for the faculty being selected by the University.
- (d) While selecting architects for campus development, the University need to carefully balance the aesthetics with functionality. The campuses should have themes that gel well with location of the campuses. Due emphasis may also have to be given campus layout, conservation, plantation and landscaping.
- (e) The overall emphasis in architectural design of the University buildings ought to be on creating elegant, serene academic ambience in sync with the and higher nature mountain culture. The should, architect therefore, be well versed with geographic conditions of hilly areas especially Himachal so that Aesthetic, Thematic, Tibetan Architecture and Higher Mountain Culture can be taken care. The Vice Chancellor requested the members to provide input in writing in this regard so that the same could be included in the Request for Proposal (RFP) document for selection of architects. Vice Chancellor The further requested the members to suggest names of experts who

		could be invited to serve on the Planning & Monitoring Board of the University and also on the Committee constituted by the University for the selection of architects. The Executive Council noted the progress and ratified the decision.	
ITEMS FOR	REPORTING AND RATIFICATION		
Item 4.4	To report to the Executive Council regarding the approval of the Visitor for amendment of Statues relating to the composition of the Selection Committee for various positions.	The Executive Council noted the amendment in Statutes.	No action required.
Item 4.5	To report to the Executive Council the affiliation of Dr. Arlene M. Plevin of Department of English from Olympic College, Bremerton, Washington as Fulbright-Nehru Visiting Lecturer on a short-term teaching assignment in the School of Humanities & Languages of the University during the period January - June 2012.	The Executive Council noted & ratified the decision taken. The Executive Council suggested that some incentives should also be provided in the shape of accommodation, etc. to attract such more academicians to the University.	Dr. Arlene M. Plevin has joined the University on 9 th January, 2012. She is being provided the facilities available with the University.
Item 4.6	To report to the Executive Council about the International Conference of the Research Committee on Sociology of Law on Peace Justice and Development organised by the Central University of Himachal Pradesh.	The Executive Council ratified the action taken and appreciated the initiatives. The Executive Council advised that the University should encourage such type of activities in future as well.	The advice of the Executive Council has been noted for future.
Item 4.7	To report to the Executive Council about the measures taken by the Vice Chancellor for prevention of Ragging on the campus in pursuance of the Anti-Ragging Regulation of the UGC.	The Executive Council ratified the action taken by the Vice Chancellor.	No action required.

Item 4.8	To report to the Executive	The Executive Council noted	No action required.
	Council about the Report of the Curriculum Development Committees for the Programmes of Studies introduced during the Academic Session 2010-11 & 2011-12.	and ratified the action taken and appreciated the efforts of the University.	
Item 4.9	To report to the Executive Council regarding the detailed description of the Allied, Cognate and Related disciplines for the purposes of admission and short-listing of faculty in various programmes of studies of the University.	The Executive Council noted and ratified the action taken.	No action required.
Item 4.10	To report to the Executive Council regarding recruitment to Non-teaching Posts	The Executive Council noted and ratified the selection made to non-teaching posts.	No action required.
Item 4.11	To report the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor for granting extension for appointment of Shri S.C. Uppal, as Consultant (Library) for the establishment of Library.	The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision and further authorised the Vice Chancellor to continue the arrangement till the appointment of the Librarian on regular basis. The Executive Council further approved that the remuneration of Sh. S.C. Uppal be increased to Rs. 26,000/- (Twenty six thousand per month) effective from 7.12.2011.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide office order No.1-1/CUHP/Estt./2010/443-46 dated 13/1/2012.
Item 4.12	To report the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor for granting extension for appointment of Shri P.N. Malotia, Consultant (Finance).	The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision. Further in view of the fact that Shri P.N. Malotia has expressed his inability to continue w.e.f. 9.12.2011, the Executive Council granted the extension to Sh. P.N. Malotia upto 9 th December, 2011 only.	No action required.
Item 4.13	To report to the Executive Council the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor for prescribing Professional Development Fee for all programmes of study.	The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision.	No action required.

Item 4.14	To report the action taken regarding fixing the rates of honorarium for visiting faculty on lecture basis.	The Finance Committee noted the action taken by the University and recommended the same to the Executive Council for ratification with the suggestion that the duration of one lecture should be reckoned as one hour. Thus Rs. 1000.00 per lecture should mean 'per lecture of one hour'. The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented.
Item 4.15	To apprise the Executive Council regarding the adoption of the following CVC circulars:		
	(i) regarding Mobilisation advance in Tendering system(ii) regarding Transparency in	(i) The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision. (ii) The Executive Council	No action required. No action required.
	Tendering system (iii) regarding Integrity Pact in Tendering system	noted and ratified the decision. (iii) The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision.	No action required.
Item 4.16	Omitted		
Item 4.17	To report to the Executive Council about the arrangement made by the University for providing transport facility to the students.	The Finance Committee perused the arrangement made by the University and recommended the same to the Executive Council for ratification. It recommended also that the University should provide such a facility to the students to and fro the hostels. The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision.	The decision of the Executive Council implemented.
Itom 4.19	To report to the Evecutive		The decicion of the
Item 4.18	To report to the Executive Council about the action taken regarding providing hired vehicle at Temporary Academic Block of the University at Shahpur for providing conveyance facility	The Finance Committee perused the action taken/arrangement made by the University and recommended the same to the Executive Council for ratification.	The decision of the Executive Council implemented.

Item 4.19	to the medical team from Medical College, Tanda and for other miscellaneous day to day duties. To report to the Executive Council regarding the Agreement signed by the University with RITES Limited, Govt. of India Enterprise, New Delhi for imparting Project Management Consultancy to Central University of Himachal Pradesh, for the Campus Development, with Architect to be appointed by the PMC with University approval.	The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision. The Finance Committee noted the action taken by the University and recommended the same to the Executive Council for ratification. The Executive Council noted and ratified the decision.	No action required.
Item 4.20	To Place before the Executive Council the Report and Recommendation of the Expert Committee for the selection and finalisation of the University Logo.	The Executive Council also appreciated the logo designed by Sh. Akshay Khatri for adoption by the University and approved the recommendation of the Academic Council to present him a Himachali Cap and shawl in addition to cash prize as a token of appreciation/recognition. The Executive Council further complemented the Vice-Chancellor for rigorous procedure followed for the selection of logo.	The action on the decision of the Executive Council is being taken.
Item 4.21	To place before the Executive Council proposal to change the nomenclature of certain Programme of Studies being offered by the University.	The Academic Council in its 3rd Meeting held on 19th November 2011 approved the change in the nomenclature of the Programmes of Studies as proposed and further suggested that the MSc (Computational Biology/Bioinformatics) be also renamed as MSc (Bioinformatics).	The action on the decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide notification No. 3-4/CUHP/GA/2010/686-91 dated 23.01.2012

Item 4.22	To place before the Executive Council the matter regarding modification of Academic Calendar.	The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of Academic Council. The Executive Council approved the recommendation of the Academic Council.	The action on the decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide notification No. 1-5/CUHP/Acad/2010/366-70 dated 12.01.2012.
Item 4.23	To place before the Executive Council the Panel of Experts for the Selection of faculty in various programmes of studies of the Universities.	The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendations and decision of the Academic Council.	The panel of experts as approved by the Executive Council on the recommendation of the Academic Council has been adopted while holding the interviews for faculty positions.
Item 4.24	To place before the Executive Council Proposal to seek authorisation for appointment of working/Retired senior academics/Scientists/ Professionals/ Industry experts/Artists as Adjunct Professors/Adjunct Faculty/Visiting Faculty.	The Academic Council in its 3rd Meeting held on 19th November 2011 considered the proposal and approved the same. The Academic Council observed that since the Ordinances of the University already provide for the engagement of such faculty, the Vice Chancellor should take necessary action for identifying suitably qualified persons for appointment on such positions. The Academic Council further observed, that such faculty resources shall be of immense help to the University at this stage of its development. The Academic Council further directed that the scope of Ordinance 14 of the University should be widened to include provisions for appointing/designating/ recognising faculty members/ researchers/ scientists/ professionals from other universities/ institutions/	The advice of the Executive Council has been noted.

		laboratories, particularly working in those institutions with which the University enters into MoUs, as Adjunct Associate Professors, Adjunct Assistant Professors and/or Adjunct faculty. The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of Academic Council.	
Item 4.25	To place before the Executive Council the letter No. 6-8/2010(SCT/ PWD) dated 27.01.2011 from University Grants Commission regarding Use of Computer by Persons with Disabilities for writing Examination.	The Academic Council in its 3rd Meeting held on 19th November 2011 considered the proposal and approved that the use of computers in examination should be allowed only to those students who are admitted under Physically challenged category with visual impairment only. The Executive Council approved the decision of the Academic Council.	The matter was reported to MHRD vide letter No. 4-9/CUHP/GA/2010/841 dated 13.02.2012
Item 4.26	To place before the Executive Council the letter No. 8-2/2011-SC/ST Cell dated 31.03.2011 from SC/ST Cell, Department of School Education and Literacy, Ministry of Human Resources Development, Government of India.	The Academic Council in its 3rd Meeting held on 19th November 2011 considered the proposal and approved that keeping in view the practical difficulties, the arrangement for evaluation by allowing the student to read out to the examiner be permitted only in case of such students who have been admitted under physically challenged category with visual impairment. The Executive Council appreciated and approved the decision of the Academic Council.	The matter was reported to MHRD vide letter No. 4-9/CUHP/GA/2010/844 dated 13.02.2012
Item 4.27	To place before the Executive Council the D.O. letter No. 62-1/2009 (CU) dated 06.06.2011	The Academic Council in its 3rd meeting held on 19th November 2011 considered	Action as approved is being taken accordingly.

	from University Grants	the proposal and approved	
	from University Grants Commission regarding Protection and Reservation of Indigenous Traditional Knowledge and Endangered Languages in the Central Universities.	the proposal and approved the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor. The Academic Council further felt that a separate centre for the Endangered Language may not be required as Statute of the University already provides for the establishment of a Centre for Popularisation and Preservation of Pahari Language, Art, Culture & Handicrafts in its School of Fine Arts & Art Education. Further, the Academic Council suggested that while activating the said centre, the University should take cognisance of the provisions of the UNESCO's policy of promotion and preservation of intangible cultural heritage. The Executive Council appreciated and approved the decision of the Academic Council.	
Item 4.28	To place before the Executive Council the Approval and Release of Book "CUHP Procurement of Goods and Services Rules 2010".	The Executive Council approved the book "CUHP Procurement of Goods and Services Rules 2010" and appreciated the action taken by the University and released the same.	No action is required.
Item 4.29	To place before the Executive Council the following Draft Statute: (1) Draft Statute No. 43 dealing with Pension Scheme and Provident Fund Scheme	The Finance Committee considered the proposal in the light of the New Pension Scheme Rules of the Government of India and also the Office Memorandum of Govt. of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions, Department of Pension & Pensioners Welfare dated 26.7.2005 and 28.10.2009, regarding applicability of the New Pension Scheme with regard	The action with regard to implementation of New Pension Scheme and to get itself registered with CRA/NSDL has been taken by the University

to the employees already in service prior to 1.1.2004.

The Finance Committee felt that while the Act of the University employers it to encourage inter-University mobility of faculty with portable pensions and protection of seniority; and that the 2005 and 2009 office memoranda permit employees already in service on or before 31.12.2003 and were governed by pension scheme under the CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972, to continue to be governed old under the non contributory pension scheme, where such employees submit technical resignation on or after 1.1.2004 to take up new appointment in the Ministry/Department/ Central Autonomous Body, vet there is some ambiguity as to whether this concession could be made available even if such employee join an institution that were established after 31.12.2003.

This in view, the Finance Committee felt that the matter requires detailed examination and should, therefore, be deferred. However, in view of the fact that the University has alreadv started its recruitment, the Finance Committee recommended to the Executive Council the implementation of Pension Scheme of Govt. of India and to register itself with the CRA/NSDL.

The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Item 4.30	To place before the Executive Council the following Draft Ordinance:		
	(1) Draft Ordinance No. 40 providing for Rules for the operationalisation of New Pension Scheme for the benefit of University employees for kind consideration and recommendation to the Executive Council for approval	(1) The Finance Committee felt that the Ordinance 40 has been proposed under the provisions of the Statute 43 which has been deferred. As such the Finance Committee decided that the agenda item regarding Ordinance be deferred till the relevant Statute is approved.	No action required.
		The Executive Council approved the recommendation of Finance Committee.	
	(2) Draft Ordinance No. 41: Medium of Instruction, Examination, Evaluation and Grading System for the Master of Philosophy	(2) The Executive Council considered and approved the Draft Ordinance 41 as recommended and approved by the Academic Council.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide Notification No. 3-3/CUHP/GA/2010/680-685 dated 23.01.2012.
	(3) Draft Ordinance No. 42: Medium of Instruction, Examination, Evaluation and Grading System for the Doctor of Philosophy.	(3) The Executive Council considered and approved draft Ordinance No. 42 as recommended and approved by Academic Council.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide Notification No. 3-3/CUHP/GA/2010/680-685 dated 23.01.2012.
Item 4.31	To place before the Executive Council proposal to make amendment/addition to the following Ordinances		
	(1) Ordinance 31: Addition to the Schedule I of Ordinance 31 of the University to prescribing the Minimum eligibility requirements and conditions for the award of degrees for the new Programmes of Studies introduced during the academic session 2011-12.	(1) The Executive Council considered and approved the addition to the Schedule I of Ordinance 31 recommended by the Academic Council the relevant Ordinance would stand modified.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide Notification No. 3-3/CUHP/GA/2010/705-10 dated 23.01.2012.

	(2) Ordinance 24: Amendment in Ordinance 24 dealing with the Cadre Recruitment Rules for consideration and approval.	(2) The Executive Council considered the recommendations of Academic Council and approved the same.	
Item 4.32	To apprise the Executive Council about providing Hostel Facilities in rented accommodations to the students admitted to various programmes of studies of the University and to place before the Executive Council the schedule of fees chargeable from students allotted hostel facilities:	The Finance Committee considered the recommendation of the Academic Council and recommended to the Executive Council, for approval, as proposed with the suggestion that in addition to the hostel charges recommended by the Academic Council, the electricity and water charges @ Rs. 150.00 per month (payable for 10 months in a year) may also be prescribed. The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of the Finance Committee.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide Notification No. 1-5/CUHP/Acad/2010/361-65 dated 12.01.2012.
Item 4.33	To place before the Executive Council the proposal to initiate the process of selection/ appointment of Architect(s) by the Project Management Consultant of the University.		is in hand. A meeting of the Building Committee
Item 4.34	To place before the Executive Council the proposal for assigning the work of working out the 'affected trees and their species' for the	The Finance Committee considered the case and it was of the opinion that since the EdCIL Ltd have already undertaken the work of	The action is in hand.

	Dhauladhar and Beas Campuses of the University to the EdCIL India Ltd.	surveying the area, contouring and preparation of Master Plan etc. as such they shall be in a better position to undertake and complete the work within minimum time. Therefore, the University should assign the work to the EdCIL. The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of the Finance Committee.	
Item 4.35	To place before the Executive Council the Annual Report 2010-11 of the University for the period from April 1 2010 to March 31, 2011	The Executive Council considered the Annual Report of the University for 2010-11 and approved the same as recommended by Academic Council. Further, the Executive Council approved that the Annual Report may be submitted to the MHRD to be laid before the Parliament to meet the statutory requirement.	Annual Report has been sent to the MHRD which has further been placed before Parliament by the MHRD.
Item 4.36	To place before the Executive Committee the Annual Accounts together with Audit Report for the financial year 2010-11	The Finance Committee considered the audited Annual Accounts for the financial year 2010-11, together with audit report and the reply of the University thereto and expressed its satisfaction with the maintenance of accounts by the University. The Finance Committee recommended the same to the Executive Council for approval and further submission thereof to the Court, Visitor and the Ministry of Human Resource Development, for causing them to be laid before both Houses of Parliament after printing and binding as soon as the Hindi Version of audit	Annual Report has been sent to the MHRD which has further been placed before Parliament by the MHRD.

		report is received from the CAG. The Executive Council considered and approved the recommendation of the Finance Committee.	
Item 4.37	To Place before the Executive Council the following draft MOUs (1) Draft MoU to be signed between the Central University of Himachal Pradesh and the Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India (2) Draft MoU to be signed between the Central University of Himachal Pradesh and The Ballistic Research Lab (TBRL), Chandigarh (3) Draft MoU to be signed between the Central University of Himachal Pradesh and the CSIR-Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology (CSIR-IHBT), Palampur.	The Executive Council considered and approved the MoUs as recommended by the Academic Council.	Action for signing of these MoUs is being taken.
Item 4.1(T)	To seek approval of the Executive Council by circulation regarding modification in the Criteria and Weightages to be used by the Selection Committee for the direct recruitment to the post of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor.	The Executive Council considered and approved as Annexure-A.	The decision of the Executive Council has been implemented vide Notification No. 1-3/CUHP/Rectt./2010/748-53 dated 25.01.2012.

Annexure – A

The matter regarding modification in the criteria and weightage for direct recruitment was placed before the Executive Council for consideration. The Executive Council considered and approved the following:-

Posts	Minimum Qualification/ API Scores	Selection Committee Criteria and their Weightages
Assistant Professor	Minimum Qualification as prescribed by the UGC Regulation of June 2010	(a) Academic Background and Research Performance (50%) Academic Background (20%) 20 Marks Maximum based on academic performance from matriculation to PG level, computed as under 4 First Class/A Grade: 20 Marks 3 First Class/A Grade: 15 Marks 2 First Class/A Grade: 10 marks 1 First Class/A Grade: 05 Marks Research Related Qualification (20%) 20 Marks Maximum, computed as under PhD = 08 Marks NET/SLET = 08 Marks NET/SLET = 08 Marks MPhil = 04 Marks Research Performance (10%) 10 Marks Maximum, to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee with due regard to the post doctoral work and quality of research & publications
		 (b) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and Teaching Skills (30%) → 30 Marks, to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee (c) Interview Performance (20%) → 20 Marks, to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee
Associate Professor	Minimum Qualification as prescribed by the UGC Regulation of June 2010 A minimum API Score of 300 Points computed on the parameter specified in Category III of the API Score of the UGC Regulation of June 2010	 (a) Academic Background (20%) 20 Marks Maximum based on academic performance from matriculation to PG level, computed as under 4 First Class/A Grade: 20 Marks 3 First Class/A Grade: 15 Marks 2 First Class/A Grade: 10 marks 1 First Class/A Grade: 05 Marks (b) Research Performance based on API Score and Quality of Publications (40%) (i) Research Performance based on API Score (20%) 20 Marks Maximum computed as 1 Mark for every 10 API Score beyond the minimum eligibility API score of 300 (ii) Quality of Publications & Post Doctoral Qualifications (20%)

			 20 Marks Maximum, to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee with due regard to the quality of research and publications and post doctoral qualifications Assessment of Domain Knowledge and Teaching Skills (20%) 20 Marks Maximum to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee Interview Performance (20%) 20 Marks Maximum, to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee
Professor	Minimum Qualification as prescribed by the UGC Regulation of June 2010 A minimum API Score of 400 Points computed on the parameter specified in Category III of the API Score of the UGC Regulation of June 2010m	(b)	Academic Background (20%) 20 Marks Maximum based on academic performance from matriculation to PG level, computed as under • 4 First Class/A Grade: 20 Marks • 3 First Class/A Grade: 15 Marks • 2 First Class/A Grade: 10 marks • 1 First Class/A Grade: 05 Marks Research Performance based on API Score and Quality of Publications (40%) Research Performance based on API Score (20%) 20 Marks Maximum computed as 1 Mark for every 20 API Score beyond the minimum eligibility API score of 400 Quality of Publications (20%) 20 Marks Maximum, to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee with due regard to the quality of research and publications
		(c)	Assessment of Domain Knowledge and Teaching Skills (20%) > 20 Marks to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee
		(d)	Interview Performance (20%) > 20 Marks to be assessed and determined by the Selection Committee